

POLYESTER RESIN PLASTIC PRODUCTS FABRICATION



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO			
AIRS ID#: 0251188 DATE: 01/20/2010 ARRIVE: 10:35AM DEPART: 10:55AM FACILITY NAME: CATERA FACILITY FACILITY LOCATION: 2930 NW 72ND ST MIAMI 33147-5951 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOSE`AGRA PHONE: (305)691-3220 CONTACT NAME: 2/14/2009 / 2/14/2014					
(effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
 (check Z appropriate 1. Does the facility of and emissions unit 62-210.300(3)(a) of (Rule 62-210.300(2. Does the facility of not cause, suffer, a odor?	perate any emissions units other s which are exempt from permit or (b), F.A.C., or have been exer 3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.)	than the polyester resin plastic ting pursuant to the criteria of npted from permitting under R or prohibition of subsection 62 air pollutants which cause or co- resin and gel-coat used exceed 62-210.300(3)(c)5.c., F.A.C.)- cords to document the quantity c)5.d., F.A.C.)	e products fabrication units paragraph ule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? 		

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check \blacksquare appropriate box(es))

1.	. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by:			
	a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air? Xer No			
	b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? Xes No			
	c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application? Xes D No			
	d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
	e) managing cleanup solvents? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
2.	2. Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the			
	general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the			
	adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources,			
	water quality, or air quality? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
3.	Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>					
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	□Yes ⊠No □Yes ⊠No				

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

01/20/2010

01/2011

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On January 20, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Mr. Jose M Agra, the owner of the facility. This facility has not manufactured any boat yet, basically does boat repairing. Total resin used was 948 pounds and gelcoat used was 93 pounds. All the purchase receipts were available.